arXiv:1503.03930v1 [math.DS] 13 Mar 2015

Rotational solutions of prescribed period of Hamiltonian systems on $\mathbb{R}^{2n-k} \times T^k$

Hui Qiao

School of Mathematics and Statistics

Wuhai University, Wuhan 430072,

The People's Republic of China

e-mail: qiaohuimath@mail.whu.edu.cn

Abstract

In this paper, we consider Hamiltonian systems on $\mathbb{R}^{2n-k} \times T^k$. Multiple rotational solutions are obtained.

1 Introduction and main results

In this paper we study the multiplicity of rotational solutions for Hamiltonian systems

$$\dot{z} = JH'(z), \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{HS}$$

For $1 \leqslant k \leqslant 2n-1$, let

$$z = (z_{\mathrm{I}}, z_{\mathrm{II}}),\ z_{\mathrm{I}} = (z_1, \cdots, z_{2n-k}),\ z_{\mathrm{II}} = (z_{2n-k+1}, \cdots, z_{2n}), \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$H_{z_{\mathrm{I}}} = (H_{z_1}, \cdots, H_{z_{2n-k}}),\ H_{z_{\mathrm{II}}} = (H_{z_{2n-k+1}}, \cdots, z_{2n}). \tag{1.2}$$

We make the following basic hypothesis on the Hamiltonian

(H0) $H \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \mathbb{R})$.

(H1) $H(z_{\mathrm{I}}, z_{\mathrm{II}} + v) = H(z_{\mathrm{I}}, z_{\mathrm{II}}),\ \forall z = (z_{\mathrm{I}}, z_{\mathrm{II}}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n},\ v \in \mathbb{Z}^k$.

(H2) There exist constants $r > 0$, $\mu > 1$ such that

$$0 < \mu H(z) \leqslant z_{\mathrm{I}} \cdot H_{z_{\mathrm{I}}}(z),\ \forall z = (z_1, \cdots, z_{2n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n},\ |z_{\mathrm{I}}|^2 \geqslant r^2.$$

A periodic solution $z(t)$ of (HS) on $\mathbb{R}^{2n-k} \times T^k$ satisfies

$$z(T) - z(0) = (0, v) \tag{1.3}$$

for period $T > 0$ and vector $v \in \mathbb{Z}^k$. If $v = 0$, solutions are contractible. If $v \neq 0$, it is called *rotational vector* and corresponding solutions are called *rotational solutions*, which are non-contractible.

If $z(t)$ is a rotational solution of (HS), then $z(mt)$ is also such a solution with rotational vector mv. We restrict choices of rotational vectors to $\mathbb{Z}_1^k$ defined as follows.

Definition 1. A rotational vector $v = (v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k \setminus \{0\}$ is called *prime*, if one of its coordinates equals to 1 while others are zero, or two of them are relatively prime. Denotes by

$$\mathbb{Z}_1^k = \text{the set of all prime rotational vectors in } \mathbb{Z}^k.$$

In this paper, we consider the following boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \dot{z}(t) = JH'(z(t)), \\ z(T) = z(0) + (0, v), \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

For given $T > 0$ and $v \in \mathbb{Z}_1^k$. Denote by $\mathscr{P}_H(T, v)$ the set of distinct solutions. Main results in this paper are the following theorems.

Theorem 1. *Assume that $k = n$ and H satisfies (H0)−(H2). For every $T > 0$ and $v \in \mathbb{Z}_1^k$, we have*

$$^{\#}\mathscr{P}_H(T, v) \geqslant k.$$

Theorem 2. *Assume that $k > n$ and H satisfies (H0)−(H2) and*

(H3) There exist positive numbers a, b and $s < \mu - \frac{1}{2}$ such that

$$|H_{z_{II}}(z)| \leqslant a|z_I|^s + b, \ \forall z = (z_I, z_{II}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n-k} \times \mathbb{R}^k.$$

For every $v \in \mathbb{Z}_1^k \cap \left(\{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}^{2n-k} \times \{0\}\right)$ with $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{k-n}$ and $T \in I(s)$, we have

$$^{\#}\mathscr{P}_H(T, v) \geqslant k,$$

where the interval $I(s)$ is defined as follows

$$I(s) = \begin{cases} (0, +\infty), & s < \dfrac{\mu}{2}, \\ (0, \delta), & \dfrac{\mu}{2} \leqslant s < \mu - \dfrac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$

and δ is sufficiently small.

2 Variational settings

Make substitution

$$z(Tt) = x(t) + t(0, v), \tag{2.1}$$

then solutions of (1.4) are in one to one correspondence with 1-periodic solutions of the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t)+(0,v)=TJH'(x(t)+t(0,v)), \\ \qquad x(1)=x(0). \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

We choose the Hilbert space $E=W^{\frac{1}{2},2}(S^1,\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ with its inner product and norm defined by

$$\langle x,y\rangle = x_0\cdot y_0+\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}2\pi|j|x_j\cdot y_j,\ \forall x,y\in E, \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$||x||=\langle x,x\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$x(t)=\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}e^{2\pi jtJ}\xi_j,\ \xi_j\in\mathbb{R}^{2n},$$

is the Fourier series expansion of x. For $x\in E\cap C^\infty$ we define

$$A(x)=-\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 J\dot{x}(t)\cdot x(t)\mathrm{d}t. \tag{2.5}$$

It can be extended to the whole space E. Note that the space E can be orthogonally decomposed as

$$E=E^+\oplus E^-\oplus E^0, \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E^\pm &= \left\{\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}} e^{2\pi jtJ}\xi_j \;\middle|\; \pm j>0\right\} \\ &= \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\left\{ \begin{matrix} \sin(2\pi jt)e_i\mp\cos(2\pi jt)e_{i+n}, \\ \cos(2\pi jt)e_i\pm\sin(2\pi jt)e_{i+n} \end{matrix} \;\middle|\; 1\leqslant i\leqslant n,\ j\in\mathbb{N}\right\}, \qquad (2.7) \\ E^0 &= \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{e_1,\cdots,e_{2n}\}=\mathbb{R}^{2n}. \qquad (2.8) \end{aligned}$$

Denote by P^0 and $P^\pm$ the orthogonal projections from E onto E^0 and $E^\pm$ respectively. Let

$$x^0=P^0x,\ x^\pm=P^\pm x. \tag{2.9}$$

Define a linear, bounded and selfadjoint operator

$$L=P^+-P^-:E\to E. \tag{2.10}$$

Then the functional $A:\ E\cap C^\infty\to\mathbb{R}$ defined by (2.5) can be extended to E as follows

$$A(x)=\frac{1}{2}\langle Lx,x\rangle=\frac{1}{2}\left(||x^+||^2-||x^-||^2\right). \tag{2.11}$$

Consider a Hamiltonian H satisfying (H0), (H1) and the growth condition

$$|H(z)|\leqslant a|z_{\mathrm{I}}|^s+b,\ \forall z=(z_{\mathrm{I}},z_{\mathrm{II}}),\ z_{\mathrm{I}}\in\mathbb{R}^{2n-k},\ z_{\mathrm{II}}\in\mathbb{R}^k, \tag{2.12}$$

for some constants $a, b, s > 0$. Then define a functional $B : E \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$B(x) = \int_0^1 \left[H(x(t) + t(0,v)) + x(t) \cdot J(0,v) \right] \mathrm{d}t. \tag{2.13}$$

This functional is well defined, of class C^1 and its derivative B' is compact. Now we can define on E the functional

$$\Phi(x) = A(x) - B(x). \tag{2.14}$$

Proposition 2.1. $x \in E$ is a critical point of Φ iff x is a solution of (2.2).

For proofs of main theorems, we need different decompositions instead of (2.6). Note that E^0 can be decomposed as $E^0 = E^0_{\mathrm{I}} \oplus E^0_{\mathrm{II}}$, where

$$E^0_{\mathrm{I}} = \mathrm{span}\{e_1, \cdots, e_{2n-k}\}, \tag{2.15}$$

$$E^0_{\mathrm{II}} = \mathrm{span}\{e_{2n-k+1}, \cdots, e_{2n}\}. \tag{2.16}$$

We define subspaces $X, Y, \mathscr{H}$ of E such that

$$\mathscr{H} = X \dot{+} Y = E^+ \oplus E^- \oplus E^0_{\mathrm{I}}. \tag{2.17}$$

Then the space E can be decomposed as

$$E = \mathscr{H} \oplus E^0_{\mathrm{II}}. \tag{2.18}$$

Let

$$E_{\mathrm{I}} = \left\{ (x_1, \cdots, x_{2n-k}, 0) \in E \,\middle|\, \int_0^1 x_i(t) \mathrm{d}t = 0 \right\}, \tag{2.19}$$

$$E_{\mathrm{II}} = \left\{ (0, x_{2n-k+1}, \cdots, x_{2n}) \in E \,\middle|\, \int_0^1 x_i(t) \mathrm{d}t = 0 \right\}. \tag{2.20}$$

Subspace X, Y can be defined according to various values of k as follows.

Case 1. $k = n$.

$$X = E^- \oplus E^0_{\mathrm{I}},\ Y = E_{\mathrm{II}}. \tag{2.21}$$

Case 2. $k > n$. $x = (\underbrace{*, \cdots, *}_{2n-k}, \underbrace{*, \cdots, *}_{k-n}, \underbrace{*, \cdots, *}_{2n-k}, \underbrace{*, \cdots, *}_{k-n})$.

$$X_1 = \left\{ (p_1, \cdots, p_{2n-k}, 0, q_1, \cdots, q_{2n-k}, 0) \in E \,\middle|\, \int_0^1 p_i(t) \mathrm{d}t = \int_0^1 q_i(t) \mathrm{d}t = 0 \right\}.$$

$$X = (E^- \cap X_1) \oplus E^0_{\mathrm{I}},\ Y = E_{\mathrm{II}}. \tag{2.22}$$

We consider symmetries involved in the problem.

Firstly, since H satisfies (H1), the functional Φ defined by (2.14) is $\mathbb{Z}^k$-invariant and can be defined on

$$\mathscr{E} = E/\mathbb{Z}^k = \mathscr{H} \times T^k. \tag{2.23}$$

Secondly, P. Felmer [6] points out that the following S^1-action is free, and the functional Φ is S^1-invariant.

$$(\theta \cdot x)(t) = x(t+\theta) + (0, \theta v), \ x \in \mathscr{E}. \tag{2.24}$$

where $\theta \in S^1 = [0,1]/\{0,1\}$.

P. Felmer [5] essentially proves the following S^1-equivariant saddle point type theorem.

Proposition 2.2. (Theorem 1.1 of [5]) *Assume that $\mathscr{E}$ can be splitted as $\mathscr{E} = (X \dotplus Y) \times T^k$ with $X \neq \{0\}$. Let $I \in C^1(\mathscr{E}, \mathbb{R})$ be an S^1-invariant functional of the form*

$$I(z) = \frac{1}{2}\langle Lz, z\rangle + B(z), \tag{2.25}$$

where

(I1) $L : E \to E$ is a linear, bounded and selfadjoint operator; X is an invariant subspace.

(I2) $b \in C^1(\mathscr{E}, \mathbb{R})$ and $b'_z : \mathscr{E} \to \mathscr{H}$ is compact.

(I3) I satisfies (PS) property.

(I4) There exist constants $\alpha < \beta$ and γ such that

$$I|_{\partial Q \times T^k} \leqslant \alpha, \ I|_{Y \times T^k} \geqslant \beta, \ I|_{Q \times T^k} \leqslant \gamma,$$

where

$$Q = \{x \in X \mid ||x|| \leqslant R\} \text{ and } \partial Q = \{x \in X \mid ||x|| = R\}. \tag{2.26}$$

Then I possesses at least k distinct critical points with critical values less than or equal to γ.

3 Proofs of main results

Since H satisfies (H0)-(H2), then

$$H(z) \geqslant a_1 |z_{\mathrm{I}}|^{\mu} - a_2, \ \forall z = (z_{\mathrm{I}}, z_{\mathrm{II}}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n-k} \times \mathbb{R}^k, \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$a_1 = \min_{|z_{\mathrm{I}}| \geqslant r} \frac{H(z)}{|z_{\mathrm{I}}|^{\mu}}, \ a_2 = \max_{|z_{\mathrm{I}}| \leqslant r} |H(z)|. \tag{3.2}$$

Choose undetermined constants $K_2 > K_1 \geqslant r$ and a function $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that

$$\chi(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leqslant t \leqslant K_1, \\ 0, & t \geqslant K_2, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \chi'(t) < 0, \ K_1 < t < K_2.$$

We define

$$H_K(z) = \chi(|z_{\mathrm{I}}|) H(z) + (1 - \chi(|z_{\mathrm{I}}|)) \rho |z_{\mathrm{I}}|^{\mu}, \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$\rho \geqslant \max_{K_1 \leqslant |z_{\mathrm{I}}| \leqslant K_2} \frac{|H(z)|}{|z_{\mathrm{I}}|^{\mu}}. \tag{3.4}$$

The function H_K satisfies (H0)-(H1) and

$$0 < \mu H_K(z) \leqslant z_{\mathrm{I}} \cdot (H_K)_{z_{\mathrm{I}}}, \ |z_{\mathrm{I}}| \geqslant r.$$

Then

$$a_1 |z_{\mathrm{I}}|^{\mu} - a_2 \leqslant H_K(z) \leqslant \rho |z_{\mathrm{I}}|^{\mu} + a_2, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\int_0^1 \big(z_{\mathrm{I}} \cdot (H_K)_{z_{\mathrm{I}}} - H_K\big) \mathrm{d}t \geqslant \int_0^1 \big((\mu - 1)H - a_3\big) \mathrm{d}t, \tag{3.6}$$

$$H_K(z) < \min_{|z_{\mathrm{I}}| \geqslant K_2} H_K(z), \ |z_{\mathrm{I}}| < K_2. \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$a_3 = \max_{|z_{\mathrm{I}}| \leqslant r} (\mu - 1)H(z) - \min_{|z_{\mathrm{I}}| \leqslant r} z_{\mathrm{I}} \cdot H_{z_{\mathrm{I}}}(z). \tag{3.8}$$

Let

$$B_K(x) = \int_0^1 \big[TH_K(x(t) + t(0,v)) + x(t) \cdot J(0,v)\big] \mathrm{d}t, \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$\Phi_K(x) = A(x) - B_K(x), \ \forall x \in \mathscr{E}. \tag{3.10}$$

Certainly functionals B_K and Φ_K are well-defined and of C^1 class, and Φ_K satisfies (I1) and (I2). We next prove that Φ_K satisfies (I3) and (I4).

Proposition 3.1. Φ_K satisfies (I3), i.e., (PS) condition.

Proof. Let us consider a sequence

$$x^{(m)} = (w^{(m)}, \theta^{(m)}) \in \mathscr{H} \times T^k$$

such that

$$\Phi_K(w^{(m)}, \theta^{(m)}) \leqslant c \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi'_K(w^{(m)}, \theta^{(m)}) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad m \to \infty. \tag{3.11}$$

Certainly $\{\theta^{(m)}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a convergent subsequence. We show that $\{w^{(m)}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $\mathscr{H}$.

Let $w = w^{(m)}$, $\theta = \theta^{(m)}$, and decompose w as

$$w = w_{\mathrm{I}}^0 + w^+ + w^- = w_{\mathrm{I}}^0 + \widetilde{w}_{\mathrm{I}} + \widetilde{w}_{\mathrm{II}} = w_{\mathrm{I}} + \widetilde{w}_{\mathrm{II}}, \tag{3.12}$$

where

$$w^{\pm} \in E^{\pm}, \ w_{\mathrm{I}}^0 \in E_{\mathrm{I}}^0, \ \widetilde{w}_{\mathrm{I}} \in E_{\mathrm{I}}, \ \widetilde{w}_{\mathrm{II}} \in E_{\mathrm{II}}.$$

By (3.11) and for large m we have

$$\Phi_K(w, \theta) - \langle \Phi'_K(w, \theta), w_{\mathrm{I}} \rangle \leqslant c + ||w_{\mathrm{I}}||. \tag{3.13}$$

Case 1. $k=n$.

$$w_{\rm I}=w_{\rm I}^0+\widetilde{w}_{\rm I}=(p,0)\in E_{\rm I}^0\oplus E_{\rm I},\ \widetilde{w}_{\rm II}=(0,q)\in E_{\rm II}.$$

Set $\xi(t)=x(t)+t(0,v)$, we have

$$\Phi_K(x)=\int_0^1\big[p(t)\cdot\dot q(t)-TH_K(\xi(t))+p(t)\cdot v\big]\mathrm{d}t, \tag{3.14}$$

$$\Phi_K'(x)=\big(\dot q+v-T(H_K)_p,-\dot p-T(H_K)_q\big),$$

$$\langle\Phi_K'(x),(p,0)\rangle=\int_0^1\big[p(t)\cdot\dot q(t)+p(t)\cdot v-p(t)\cdot T(H_K)_p(\xi(t))\big]\mathrm{d}t,$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_K(x)-\langle\Phi_K'(x),(p,0)\rangle&=T\int_0^1\big[p(t)\cdot(H_K)_p(\xi(t))-H_K(\xi(t))\big]\mathrm{d}t\\&\geqslant T\left(\int_0^1(\mu-1)H_K(\xi(t))\mathrm{d}t-a_3\right).\end{aligned}\tag{3.15}$$

By (3.13) and (3.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}c+\|w_{\rm I}\|&\geqslant T\left(\int_0^1(\mu-1)H_K(\xi(t))\mathrm{d}t-a_3\right)\\&\geqslant T\left(\int_0^1(\mu-1)(a_1|w_{\rm I}|^\mu-a_2)\mathrm{d}t-a_3\right).\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\|w_{\rm I}\|_\mu^\mu\leqslant b_1(1+\|w_{\rm I}\|)\leqslant b_1(1+\|w\|). \tag{3.16}$$

Here $\|z\|_\lambda$ denotes the standard norm of $z\in L^\lambda$. We have

$$|w_{\rm I}^0|\leqslant b_2(1+\|w\|^{1/\mu}). \tag{3.17}$$

We next estimate $\widetilde{w}_{\rm I}+\widetilde{w}_{\rm II}=w^++w^-$ with $w^\pm\in E^\pm$. Note that

$$\langle\Phi_K'(x),x^\pm\rangle=\int_0^1\big[(x^+-x^-)\cdot x^\pm-TH_K'(\xi(t))\cdot x^\pm-J(0,v)\cdot x^\pm\big]\mathrm{d}t. \tag{3.18}$$

By (3.11) we have

$$\|w^\pm\|^2\leqslant\left|\int_0^1TH_K'(\xi(t))\cdot w^\pm\mathrm{d}t\right|+\|w^\pm\|.$$

By definition of H_K we have

$$|H_K'(z)|\leqslant b_3(|z_{\rm I}|^{\mu-1}+1).$$

Then

$$\left|\int_0^1TH_K'(\xi(t))\cdot w^\pm\mathrm{d}t\right|\leqslant b_3\int_0^1(|w_{\rm I}|^{\mu-1}+1)|w^\pm|\mathrm{d}t\leqslant b_3\left(\|w_{\rm I}\|_\mu^{\mu-1}+1\right)\|w^\pm\|,$$

and

$$||w^{\pm}|| \leqslant b_4\left(1+||w_{\mathrm{I}}||_{\mu}^{\mu-1}\right) \leqslant b_5\left(1+||w||^{\frac{\mu-1}{\mu}}\right). \tag{3.19}$$

By (3.17) we have

$$||w|| \leqslant ||w_{\mathrm{I}}^{0}|| + ||w^{+}|| + ||w^{-}|| \leqslant b_6\left(1+||w||^{\frac{\mu-1}{\mu}} + ||w||^{\frac{1}{\mu}}\right).$$

Since $\mu > 1$, we conclude that the sequence $\{w^{(m)}\}$ is bounded in $\mathscr{H}$.

Case 2. $k > n$. Let

$$\begin{cases} x = (p_{\mathrm{I}}, p_{\mathrm{II}}, q_{\mathrm{I}}, q_{\mathrm{II}}), \\ p_{\mathrm{I}} = (p_1, \cdots, p_{2n-k}),\ p_{\mathrm{II}} = (p_{2n-k+1}, \cdots, p_n), \\ q_{\mathrm{I}} = (q_1, \cdots, q_{2n-k}),\ q_{\mathrm{II}} = (q_{2n-k+1}, \cdots, q_n). \end{cases} \tag{3.20}$$

Then

$$w_{\mathrm{I}} = (p_{\mathrm{I}}, 0, 0, 0),\ w_{\mathrm{II}} = (0, p_{\mathrm{II}}, q_{\mathrm{I}}, q_{\mathrm{II}}).$$

Each vector $v \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ can be written as

$$v = (v'_{\mathrm{II}}, v_{\mathrm{I}}, v_{\mathrm{II}}),\ v_{\mathrm{I}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2n-k},\ v_{\mathrm{II}}, v'_{\mathrm{II}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{k-n}. \tag{3.21}$$

We have

$$\Phi_K(x) = \int_0^1 \big(p_{\mathrm{I}}\cdot\dot q_{\mathrm{I}} + p_{\mathrm{II}}\cdot\dot q_{\mathrm{II}} - TH_K(\xi(t)) + p_{\mathrm{I}}\cdot v_{\mathrm{I}} + p_{\mathrm{II}}\cdot v_{\mathrm{II}} - q_{\mathrm{II}}\cdot v'_{\mathrm{II}}\big)\mathrm{d}t, \tag{3.22}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi'_K(x) = \big(&\dot q_{\mathrm{I}} + v_{\mathrm{I}} - T(H_K)_{p_{\mathrm{I}}},\ \dot q_{\mathrm{II}} + v_{\mathrm{II}} - T(H_K)_{p_{\mathrm{II}}}, \\ &-\dot p_{\mathrm{I}} - T(H_K)_{q_{\mathrm{I}}},\ -\dot p_{\mathrm{II}} - v'_{\mathrm{II}} - T(H_K)_{q_{\mathrm{II}}}\big), \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

$$\langle \Phi'_K(x), (p_{\mathrm{I}}, 0)\rangle = \int_0^1 \big(p_{\mathrm{I}}\cdot\dot q_{\mathrm{I}} + p_{\mathrm{I}}\cdot v_{\mathrm{I}} - p_{\mathrm{I}}\cdot T(H_K)_{p_{\mathrm{I}}}(\xi(t))\big)\mathrm{d}t,$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi(x) - \langle \Phi'(x), (p_{\mathrm{I}}, 0)\rangle \\ &= \int_0^1 \big(p_{\mathrm{I}}\cdot T(H_K)_{p_{\mathrm{I}}}(\xi(t)) - TH_K(\xi(t)) + p_{\mathrm{II}}\cdot(\dot q_{\mathrm{II}} + v'_{\mathrm{II}}) - q_{\mathrm{II}}\cdot v'_{\mathrm{II}}\big)\mathrm{d}t. \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

By the definition of H_K, we have

$$|(H_K)_{z_{\mathrm{II}}}| \leqslant b_7. \tag{3.25}$$

By (3.23), (3.11) and for large m we have

$$||\dot p_{\mathrm{II}} + v'_{\mathrm{II}} + T(H_K)_{q_{\mathrm{II}}}(\xi(t))||_2 \leqslant 1,\ ||\dot q_{\mathrm{II}} + v_{\mathrm{II}} - (TH_K)_{p_{\mathrm{II}}}(\xi(t))||_2 \leqslant 1. \tag{3.26}$$

Since $w_{\mathrm{II}} = (0, p_{\mathrm{II}}, q_{\mathrm{I}}, q_{\mathrm{II}}) \in E_{\mathrm{II}}$, by Wirtinger's inequality we have

$$||p_{\mathrm{II}}||_2 \leqslant \frac{1}{2\pi}||\dot p_{\mathrm{II}}||_2,\ ||q_{\mathrm{II}}||_{L^2} \leqslant \frac{1}{2\pi}||\dot q_{\mathrm{II}}||_2.$$

By (3.25) and (3.26) We have

$$\left|\int_0^1 \big(p_{\mathrm{II}}\cdot(\dot{q}_{\mathrm{II}}+v'_{\mathrm{II}})-q_{\mathrm{II}}\cdot v'_{\mathrm{II}}\big)\mathrm{d}t\right| \leqslant b_8. \tag{3.27}$$

By (3.24), (3.13), (H2) and the above inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c+\|w_{\mathrm{I}}\| &\geqslant T\left(\int_0^1 (\mu-1)H_K(\xi(t))\mathrm{d}t-a_3\right)\\ &\geqslant T\left(\int_0^1 (\mu-1)(a_1|w_{\mathrm{I}}|^{\mu}-a_2)\mathrm{d}t-a_3\right). \end{aligned}$$

The rest part of proof is similar with Case 1. ∎

Proposition 3.2. Φ_K satisfies (I4).

Proof.

Case 1. $k=n$. By (2.21), each $x=(w,\theta)\in Y\times T^n$ satisfies $w=(0,q)$, then

$$\Phi_K\Big|_{Y\times T^k}(x)=\int_0^1 -TH_K(0,q(t)+\theta+tv)\mathrm{d}t\geqslant\beta.$$

Each $x=(w,\theta)\in X\times T^n$ can be written as

$$w=w^-+w^0,\ \ w^-=(p^-,q^-),\ w^0=(p^0,0).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_K\big|_{X\times T^k}(x)&=-\|w^-\|^2+p^0\cdot v-\int_0^1 TH_K(p^-+p^0,q^-+tv+\theta)\mathrm{d}t\\ &\leqslant -\|w^-\|^2+|w^0|\cdot|v|-\int_0^1 T(a_1|p^-+p^0|^{\mu}-a_2)\mathrm{d}t. \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

Subcase 1.1. $1<\mu<2$. Let $f(t)=\dfrac{(1+t)^{\mu}}{1+t^{\mu}}$, $t\geqslant 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} f'(t)&=\frac{\mu(1+t)^{\mu-1}(1+t^{\mu})-(1+t)^{\mu}\mu t^{\mu-1}}{(1+t^{\mu})^2}\\ &=\frac{\mu(1+t)^{\mu-1}}{(1+t^{\mu})^2}(1-t^{\mu-1})>0 \text{ iff } t<1. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\max\limits_{t\geqslant 0} f(t)=f(1)=2^{\mu-1}$ and

$$|u_2|^{\mu}=|u_1+u_2-u_1|^{\mu}\leqslant(|u_1+u_2|+|u_1|)^{\mu}\leqslant 2^{\mu-1}(|u_1+u_2|^{\mu}+|u_1|^{\mu}),\ \forall u_1,u_2\in\mathbb{R}^n.$$

Choose $u_1=p^-$ and $u_2=p^0$, we have

$$|w^0|=|p^0|^{\mu}\leqslant 2^{\mu-1}(|p^-+p^0|^{\mu}+|p^-|^{\mu})\leqslant 2^{\mu-1}(|p^-+p^0|^{\mu}+|w^-|^{\mu}).$$

By (3.28) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi_K|_{X\times T^k}(x)\\
&\leqslant -\|w^-\|^2+|w^0|\cdot|v|-Ta_1\int_0^1\left(2^{1-\mu}|w^0|^\mu-|w^-|^\mu\right)\mathrm{d}t+Ta_2\\
&=-\|w^-\|^2+Ta_1\|w^-\|_\mu^\mu+|w^0|\cdot|v|-2^{1-\mu}Ta_1|w^0|^\mu+Ta_2\\
&\leqslant -\|w^-\|^2+Ta_1\|w^-\|^\mu+|w^0|\cdot|v|-2^{1-\mu}Ta_1|w^0|^\mu+Ta_2. \qquad (3.29)
\end{aligned}$$

For each $w=w^-+w^0\in Q$, we have $\|w^-\|^2+|w^0|^2=R^2$. Then

$$\|w^-\|\geqslant\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}R \text{ or } |w^0|\geqslant\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}R.$$

By (3.29), since $1<\mu<2$, for large R, we have

$$\Phi_K|_{\partial Q\times T^k}(x)\leqslant\beta-1. \qquad (3.30)$$

Subcase 1.2. $\mu\geqslant 2$. Similarly to computations in subcase 1.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_K|_{X\times T^k}(x)&\leqslant -\|w^-\|^2+|w^0|\cdot|v|-T\left(a_1\int_0^1|p^-+p^0|^\mu\mathrm{d}t-a_2\right)\\
&\leqslant -\|w^-\|^2+|w^0|\cdot|v|-T\left(a_1\left(\int_0^1|p^-+p^0|^2\mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{\mu}{2}}-a_2\right)\\
&=-\|w^-\|^2+|w^0|\cdot|v|-T\left(a_1\left(\int_0^1|p^-|^2+|p^0|^2\mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{\mu}{2}}-a_2\right)\\
&\leqslant |w^0|\cdot|v|-Ta_1|w^0|^\mu+Ta_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\Phi_K|_{\partial Q\times T^k}(x)\leqslant|w^0|\cdot|v|-Ta_1|w^0|^\mu+Ta_2\leqslant\beta-1$$

for sufficiently large R.

Case 2. $k>n$. By (2.22),

$$X=(E^-\cap X_1)\oplus E_{\mathrm{I}}^0,\ Y=E_{\mathrm{II}}.$$

Similarly to Case 1, we have

$$\Phi_K\Big|_{Y\times T^k}(x)\geqslant\beta,\ \forall x\in Y\times T^k,$$

and

$$\Phi_K|_{\partial Q\times T^k}(x)\leqslant\beta-1,\ \forall x\in\partial Q\times T^k. \blacksquare$$

By Propositions 3.1, 3.2 and 2.2, the functional Φ_K possess at least k distinct critical points. Rest proofs for Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 are preliminary estimates for these critical points.

3.1 Proof of Theorem 1

Let

$$\gamma = \begin{cases} T^{\frac{2}{2-\mu}} \cdot \left(\dfrac{\mu a_1}{2}\right)^{2/(2-\mu)} + T^{-\frac{1}{\mu-1}} \cdot 2\left(\dfrac{|v|^\mu}{\mu a_1}\right)^{1/(\mu-1)} \left(1 - \dfrac{1}{\mu}\right) + T a_2, & 1 < \mu < 2, \\ T^{-\frac{1}{\mu-1}} \cdot \left(\dfrac{|v|^\mu}{\mu a_1}\right)^{1/(\mu-1)} \left(1 - \dfrac{1}{\mu}\right) + T a_2, & \mu \geqslant 2. \end{cases} \tag{3.31}$$

Proposition 3.3. If $x(t) = \big(p(t), q(t)\big)$ is a critical point of Φ_K with $\Phi_K(x) \leqslant \gamma$, then

$$|p(t)| \leqslant K,\ H_K(x(t) + t(0,v)) = H(x(t) + t(0,v)),\ \forall t \in \mathbb{R},$$

and x is a solution of (2.2).

Proof. By (3.15),

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &\geqslant \Phi(x) = \Phi(x) - \langle \Phi'(x), (p,0) \rangle \\ &\geqslant T\left(\int_0^1 (\mu-1) H_K(p, q+tv) \mathrm{d}t - a_3 \right) \\ &= T\big((\mu-1) H_K(p, q+tv) - a_3\big) \geqslant T\left((\mu-1) a_1 |p(t)|^\mu - a_2 - a_3\right). \end{aligned}$$

We have $|p(t)| \leqslant K$. ∎

3.2 Proof of Theorem 2

Proposition 3.4. If $x(t) = \big(x_{\mathrm{I}}(t), x_{\mathrm{II}}(t)\big)$ is a critical point of Φ_K with $\Phi_K(x) \leqslant \gamma$, then

$$|x_{\mathrm{I}}(t)| \leqslant K_1,\ H_K(x(t) + t(0,v)) = H(x(t) + t(0,v)),\ \forall t \in \mathbb{R},$$

and x is a solution of (2.2).

Proof. Set

$$\xi(t) = x(t) + t(0,v).$$

According to (3.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \geqslant \Phi_K(x) &= \int_0^1 \big[p_{\mathrm{I}}(t) \cdot \dot{q}_{\mathrm{I}}(t) + p_{\mathrm{II}}(t) \cdot \dot{q}_{\mathrm{II}}(t) - T H_K(\xi(t)) + p_{\mathrm{I}}(t) \cdot v_{\mathrm{I}} + p_{\mathrm{II}}(t) \cdot 0 - q_{\mathrm{II}}(t) \cdot 0\big] \mathrm{d}t \\ &= \int_0^1 \big[p_{\mathrm{I}}(t)(\dot{q}_{\mathrm{I}}(t) + v_{\mathrm{I}}) + p_{\mathrm{II}}(t) \cdot \dot{q}_{\mathrm{II}}(t) - T H_K(\xi(t))\big] \mathrm{d}t \\ &= \int_0^1 \big[p_{\mathrm{I}}(t) \cdot (T H_K)_{p_{\mathrm{I}}}(\xi(t)) - T H_K(\xi(t)) + \widetilde{p}_{\mathrm{II}}(t) \cdot \dot{q}_{\mathrm{II}}(t)\big] \mathrm{d}t \\ &\geqslant \int_0^1 \big[T\big((\mu-1) H_K(\xi(t)) - a_3\big) + \widetilde{p}_{\mathrm{II}}(t) \cdot (T H_K)_{p_{\mathrm{II}}}(\xi(t))\big] \mathrm{d}t. \end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

For arbitrary $t, t' \in [0,1]$, we have

$$\widetilde{p}_{\mathrm{II}}(t) = \int_0^1 \big(\widetilde{p}_{\mathrm{II}}(t) - \widetilde{p}_{\mathrm{II}}(t')\big)\,\mathrm{d}t' = \int_0^1 \left(\int_{t'}^{t} \dot{p}_{\mathrm{II}}(t'')\mathrm{d}t''\right)\mathrm{d}t'.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}|\widetilde{p}_{\mathrm{II}}(t)| &= \left|\int_0^1 \left(\int_{t'}^{t} \dot{p}_{\mathrm{II}}(t'')\mathrm{d}t''\right)\mathrm{d}t'\right| \leqslant \int_0^1 \left(\int_{t'}^{t} \big|\dot{p}_{\mathrm{II}}(t'')\big|\,\mathrm{d}t''\right)\mathrm{d}t' \\ &\leqslant \int_0^1 |\dot{p}_{\mathrm{II}}(t'')|\mathrm{d}t'' = \int_0^1 |\dot{p}_{\mathrm{II}}(t)|\mathrm{d}t = \int_0^1 |(TH_K)_{q_{\mathrm{II}}}(\xi(t))|\mathrm{d}t.\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int_0^1 \widetilde{p}_{\mathrm{II}}\cdot(TH_K)_{p_{\mathrm{II}}}(\xi(t))\mathrm{d}t\right| \leqslant \int_0^1 |\widetilde{p}_{\mathrm{II}}|\,|(TH_K)_{p_{\mathrm{II}}}(\xi(t))|\mathrm{d}t \\ &\leqslant \int_0^1 |(TH_K)_{q_{\mathrm{II}}}(\xi(t))|\mathrm{d}t \int_0^1 |(TH_K)_{p_{\mathrm{II}}}(\xi(t))|\mathrm{d}t \leqslant \left(\int_0^1 |T(H_K)_{z_{\mathrm{II}}}(\xi(t))|\mathrm{d}t\right)^2.\end{aligned}$$

By definition of H_K and (H3), we have

$$|(H_K)_{z_{\mathrm{II}}}| = |\chi(|p_{\mathrm{I}}|)H_{z_{\mathrm{II}}}| \leqslant a|p_{\mathrm{I}}|^s + b.$$

Then

$$\left|\int_0^1 \widetilde{p}_{\mathrm{II}}\cdot(TH_K)_{p_{\mathrm{II}}}(\xi(t))\mathrm{d}t\right| \leqslant T^2\left(\int_0^1 (a|p_{\mathrm{I}}|^s + b)\mathrm{d}t\right)^2 \leqslant T^2\cdot 2\big(a^2||p_{\mathrm{I}}||_s^{2s} + b^2\big)\,\mathrm{d}t.$$

By (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma &\geqslant \int_0^1 \big[T\big((\mu-1)H_K(\xi(t)) - a_3\big)\big]\mathrm{d}t - T^2 2\big(a^2||p_{\mathrm{I}}||_s^{2s} + b^2\big) &(3.33)\\ &\geqslant \int_0^1 T\big((\mu-1)(a_1|p_{\mathrm{I}}|^{\mu} - a_2) - a_3\big)\mathrm{d}t - T^2 2\big(a^2||p_{\mathrm{I}}||_s^{2s} + b^2\big) \\ &= T(\mu-1)a_1||p_{\mathrm{I}}||_{\mu}^{\mu} - T^2 2a^2||p_{\mathrm{I}}||_s^{2s} - T(\mu-1)a_2 - Ta_3 - T^2 2b^2 \\ &\geqslant T(\mu-1)a_1||p_{\mathrm{I}}||_s^{\mu} - T^2 2a^2||p_{\mathrm{I}}||_s^{2s} - T(\mu-1)a_2 - Ta_3 - T^2 2b^2. &(3.34)\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$C \xlongequal{\mathrm{def}} \gamma + T(\mu-1)a_2 + Ta_3 + T^2 2b^2. \tag{3.35}$$

Then (3.34) is

$$C \geqslant T(\mu-1)a_1||p_{\mathrm{I}}||_{\mu}^{\mu} - T^2 2a^2||p_{\mathrm{I}}||_s^{2s}. \tag{3.36}$$

We claim that there exists a constant $R_1 > 0$ such that

$$||p_{\mathrm{I}}||_s \leqslant R_1. \tag{3.37}$$

By (3.33), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma + Ta_3 + T^2 2b^2 + T^2 2a^2 R_1^{2s} &\geqslant T(\mu-1)\int_0^1 H_K(\xi(t))\mathrm{d}t \equiv T(\mu-1)H_K(\xi(t))\\ &\geqslant T(\mu-1)(a_1|p_{\mathrm{I}}(t)|^{\mu} - a_2),\ \forall t.\end{aligned}$$

$$|p_{\mathrm{I}}(t)| \leqslant \left(\frac{C + T^2 2a^2 R_1^{2s}}{T(\mu-1)a_1}\right)^{1/\mu} = K_1.$$

Case 1. $2s < \mu$. By (3.34), we have $||p_{\mathrm{I}}||_s \leqslant R_1$.

Case 2. $2s = \mu$. For $T \in \left(0, \frac{(\mu-1)a_1}{2a^2}\right)$ and

$$R_1 = \left(\frac{\gamma + T(\mu-1)a_2 + Ta_3 + T^2 2b^2}{T(\mu-1)a_1 - T^2 2a^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}},$$

we have $||p_{\mathrm{I}}||_s \leqslant R_1$.

Case 3. $\mu < 2s < 2\mu - 1$.

Subcase 3.1. $1 < \mu < 2$. Let $\lambda = ||p_{\mathrm{I}}||_s$ and

$$\begin{aligned}C &\overset{\text{def}}{=\!=} \gamma + T(\mu-1)a_2 + Ta_3 + T^2 2b^2\\ &= T^{\frac{2}{2-\mu}} \cdot \left(\frac{\mu a_1}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{2-\mu}} + T^{-\frac{1}{\mu-1}} \cdot 2\left(\frac{|v|^{\mu}}{\mu a_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{\mu-1}}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu}\right)\\ &\quad + T\mu a_2 + Ta_3 + T^2 2b^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

Set

$$\varphi(\lambda) = A\lambda^{\alpha} - B\lambda^{\beta},\ \alpha < \beta. \tag{3.39}$$

Then

$$\varphi'(\lambda) = A\alpha\lambda^{\alpha-1} - B\beta\lambda^{\beta-1} = 0 \ \Rightarrow\ \lambda_0 = \left(\frac{A\alpha}{B\beta}\right)^{1/(\beta-\alpha)}.$$

$$\max\varphi(\lambda) = \varphi(\lambda_0) = B\lambda_0^{\beta}\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} - 1\right) = B\left(\frac{A\alpha}{B\beta}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta-\alpha}}\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} - 1\right).$$

Choose

$$A = T(\mu-1)a_1,\ B = T^2 2a^2,\ \lambda_0 = \left(\frac{T(\mu-1)a_1\mu}{T^2 2a^2 2s}\right)^{\frac{1}{2s-\mu}},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\max\varphi(\lambda) &= T(\mu-1)a_1\lambda_0^{\mu} - T^2 2a^2\lambda_0^{2s}\\ &= T^2 2a^2\left(\frac{T(\mu-1)a_1\mu}{T^2 2a^2 2s}\right)^{\frac{2s}{2s-\mu}}\left(\frac{2s}{\mu} - 1\right)\\ &= T^2\left(\frac{T}{T^2}\right)^{\frac{2s}{2s-\mu}} \cdot 2a^2\left(\frac{(\mu-1)a_1\mu}{2a^2 2s}\right)^{\frac{2s}{2s-\mu}}\left(\frac{2s}{\mu} - 1\right)\end{aligned}$$

$$= T^{\frac{2s-2\mu}{2s-\mu}} \cdot D_0, \tag{3.40}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} D_0 &= 2a^2 \left(\frac{(\mu-1)a_1\mu}{2a^2 2s} \right)^{\frac{2s}{2s-\mu}} \left(\frac{2s}{\mu} - 1 \right) \\ &= (2a^2)^{\frac{-\mu}{2s-\mu}} \left(\frac{(\mu-1)a_1\mu}{2s} \right)^{\frac{2s}{2s-\mu}} \left(\frac{2s}{\mu} - 1 \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

Let

$$D_1 = \left(\frac{\mu a_1}{2} \right)^{\frac{2}{2-\mu}},\ D_2 = 2\left(\frac{|v|^\mu}{\mu a_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu-1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu} \right),\ D_3 = \mu a_2 + a_3,\ D_4 = 2b^2. \tag{3.42}$$

There exists $\delta > 0$ such that for $T \in (0, \delta)$,

$$T^{\frac{2}{2-\mu}} \cdot D_1 + T^{-\frac{1}{\mu-1}} \cdot D_2 + T \cdot D_3 + T^2 \cdot D_4 < T^{\frac{2s-2\mu}{2s-\mu}} \cdot D_5, \tag{3.43}$$

i.e.,

$$T^{\frac{2}{2-\mu}+\frac{1}{\mu-1}} \cdot D_1 + D_2 + T^{1+\frac{1}{\mu-1}} \cdot D_3 + T^{2+\frac{1}{\mu-1}} \cdot D_4 < T^{\frac{\mu(2s-2\mu+1)}{(2s-\mu)(\mu-1)}} \cdot D_5.$$

In fact, since $\mu < 2s < 2\mu - 1$,

$$\lim_{T\to 0^+} \text{Left} = D_2,\ \lim_{T\to 0^+} \text{Right} = +\infty.$$

By (3.38), (3.39), (3.40) and (3.43)

$$\begin{aligned} C &< T(\mu-1)a_1\lambda_0^\mu - T^2 2a^2\lambda_0^{2s} \\ &= \max_{\lambda\in\mathbb{R}} \left(T(\mu-1)a_1\lambda^\mu - T^2 2a^2 \lambda^{2s} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.44}$$

Then the inequality (3.36) implies that

$$||p_{\mathrm{I}}||_s \leqslant R_1 \text{ or } ||p_{\mathrm{I}}||_s \geqslant R_2,\ R_1 < \lambda_0 < R_2. \tag{3.45}$$

By (3.7), we have $||p_{\mathrm{I}}||_s \leqslant R_1$. ∎

Remark 1. In subcase 3.1, we must show that

$$K_1 = \left(\frac{C + T^2 2a^2 R_1^{2s}}{T(\mu-1)a_1} \right)^{1/\mu} < K_2 = R_2.$$

In fact, by (3.44) and (3.45), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &R_1 < \lambda_0 < R_2, \\ &C + T^2 2a^2\lambda_0^{2s} < T(\mu-1)a_1\lambda_0^\mu, \\ &K_1 < \left(\frac{C + T^2 2a^2\lambda_0^{2s}}{T(\mu-1)a_1} \right)^{1/\mu} < \lambda_0 < R_2 = K_2. \end{aligned}$$

References

[1] T. Bartsch, Z. Q. Wang, Periodic solutions of spatially periodic, even Hamiltonian systems, *J. Diff. Eqns.* **135** (1997) 103–128.

[2] K. C. Chang, On the periodic nonlinearity and the multiplicity of solutions, *Nonlinear Analysis* **13** (1989) 527–538.

[3] C. Conley, E. Zehnder, The Birkhoff-Lewis fixed point theorem and a conjecture of V. I. Arnold, *Invent. math.* **73** (1983) 33–49.

[4] P. Felmer, Heteroclinic orbits for spatially periodic Hamiltonian systems, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **8** (1991) 477–497.

[5] P. Felmer, Periodic solutions of spatially periodic Hamiltonian systems, *J. Diff. Eqns.* **98** (1992) 143–168.

[6] P. Felmer, Rotation type solutions for spatially periodic Hamiltonian systems, *Nonlinear Analysis, T.M.A.* **19** (1992) 409–425.

[7] C. Golé, Monotone maps of $T^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and their periodic orbits, The geometry of Hamiltonian systems (ed. by T. Ratin), MSRI Publications 22 (Springer, New York) (1991) 341–366.

[8] C. Golé, Periodic orbits for Hamiltonian systems in cotangent bundles, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **343** (1994) 327–347.

[9] H. Hofer, E. Zehnder, *Symplectic invariants and Hamiltonian dynamics*, Birkhäuser, 1994.

[10] M. Y. Jiang, Periodic solutions of Hamiltonian systems on hypersurfaces in a torus, *manuscripta math* **85** (1994) 307–321.

[11] F. Josellis, Morse theory for forced oscillations of Hamiltonian systems on $T^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$, *J. Diff. Eqns.* **2** (1994) 360–384.

[12] F. Josellis, Lyusternik-Schnirelman theory for flows and periodic orbits for Hamiltonian systems on $T^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **68** (1994) 641–672.

[13] Y. Long, E. Zehnder, Remarks on isoenergetic periodic orbits in Tori, *World Sci. (Capri 1993)* (1995) 326–344.

[14] H. Qiao, Y. Long, Functions on tori with minimal number of critical points and rotation type solutions of spatially periodic Hamiltonian systems, *Advanced Nonlinear Studies* **11** (2011) 105–116.

[15] P. Rabinowitz, Periodic solutions of Hamiltonian systems, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math* **31** (1978) 157–184.

[16] P. Rabinowitz, On a class of functionals invariant under a $\mathbb{Z}^n$ action, *Trans. Am. math. Soc.* **310** (1988) 303–311.